UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,290	06/16/2005	Kenji Sato	017525-0187	2470
	7590 05/09/200 LARDNER LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 500	T NIW	LAVARIAS, ARNEL C		
3000 K STREE WASHINGTO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2872	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/539,290	SATO, KENJI		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Arnel C. Lavarias	2872		
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	f this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mail - If NO period for reply is specified abo - Failure to reply within the set or exter	FROM THE MAILING DA under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: ng date of this communication. we, the maximum statutory period v ded period for reply will, by statute, than three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE grade of this communication, even if timely filed	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
	2b)⊠ This is in condition for allowar	8,6/16/05. action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45			
Disposition of Claims					
5) □ Claim(s) is/are 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1.8,11 and 1 7) ☑ Claim(s) 4 and 35 is/a 8) □ Claim(s) are su Application Papers 9) ☑ The specification is ob	al(s) 2,3,5-7,9,10,12,13,18 allowed. 4 is/are rejected. re objected to. abject to restriction and/o	5 <u>-34 and 36-39</u> is/are withdrawn f r election requirement. r.			
Applicant may not reque Replacement drawing sl	st that any objection to the neet(s) including the correct	D⊠ accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected. Caminer. Note the attached Office	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTC 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent I 3) Information Disclosure Statemen Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/16/05.	rawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election of Group I (Claims 1, 4, 8, 11, 14, 35) in the reply filed on 4/4/08 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 2. Claims 2-3, 5-7, 9-10, 12-13, 15-34, 36-39 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 4/4/08.

Priority

3. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Japan on 12/17/02. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the 2002-365101 application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Drawings

4. The originally filed drawings were received on 6/16/05. These drawings are acceptable.

Art Unit: 2872

Specification

- 5. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

 Examples of such errors are set forth below.
- 6. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Page 36, line 5- 'sizd' should read 'sized'

Page 40, line 2- '124' should read '125'.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 8. Claims 1, 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hendrix et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication US 2004/0070834 A1).

Hendrix et al. discloses a light dispersion filter (See for example Abstract; Figures 6-8, 10) for applying desired dispersion to an incident optical signal, comprising three or more optically transparent layers (See for example spacers between 110/125, 135/145, 155/165, 175/190 in Figure 6; 225, 235, 245, 255, 265 in Figure 7; spacers between each

Application/Control Number: 10/539,290

Art Unit: 2872

of R1, R2, R3, R4, R5, R6, R7 in Figure 8; spacers between each of 310, 320, 330, 340, 350, 360, 370 in Figure 10) each having a value equal to the value of a product of a refractive index and a thickness of said optically transparent layer (It is noted that this limitation is seen to be inherent for each of the disclosed spacers, since each spacer necessarily has a refractive index and a physical thickness, and thus each spacer must necessarily have an optical thickness as defined above), and transmitting light; and a plurality of partially reflective layers (See for example 110, 125, 135, 145, 155, 165, 175, 190 in Figure 6; 216, 226, 236, 246, 256, 266 in Figure 7; R1, R2, R3, R4, R5, R6, R7 in Figure 8; 310, 320, 330, 340, 350, 360, 370 in Figure 10) having predetermined reflectivities, and arranged alternately with said optically transparent layers, wherein the reflectivity is highest on a partially reflective layer disposed near the center of said light dispersion filter in a direction of thickness of said light dispersion filter, and the reflectivities of the respective partially reflective layers are gradually lower toward both end faces of said light dispersion filter (See specifically Figures 8 and 10). Hendrix et al. additionally discloses the optically transparent layer being a dielectric substrate (See for example spacers between 110/125, 135/145, 155/165, 175/190 in Figure 6; 225, 235, 245, 255, 265 in Figure 7; spacers between each of R1, R2, R3, R4, R5, R6, R7 in Figure 8; spacers between each of 310, 320, 330, 340, 350, 360, 370 in Figure 10; Paragraphs 0038-0039, 0043, 0047); and the partially reflective layer being a thin film or a multilayered film composed of a plurality of laminated thin films (See for example 110, 125, 135, 145, 155, 165, 175, 190 in Figure 6; 216, 226, 236, 246, 256, 266 in Figure 7; R1,

Page 4

Art Unit: 2872

R2, R3, R4, R5, R6, R7 in Figure 8; 310, 320, 330, 340, 350, 360, 370 in Figure 10; Paragraphs 0038-0039, 0043, 0047).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hendrix et al. in view of Scalora (U.S. Patent No. 6396617).

Hendrix et al. discloses the invention as set forth above in Claim 1, except for the optically transparent layer being a semiconductor substrate; and the light dispersion filter comprising light amplifying means in said semiconductor substrate for amplifying an incident optical signal. However, Scalora teaches a conventional multilayer thin film device (See for example Abstract; Figures 4, 6, 9, 11), wherein the optically transparent layer may be a semiconductor substrate (See for example 1104, 1108 in Figure 11); and the light dispersion filter comprises light amplifying means in said semiconductor substrate for amplifying an incident optical signal (See for example doped regions in 1104 in Figure 11; col. 15, lines 8-29). Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the optically transparent layer be a semiconductor substrate; and the light dispersion filter comprise light amplifying means in said semiconductor substrate for amplifying an incident optical

Art Unit: 2872

signal, as taught by Scalora, in the filter of Hendrix et al., for the purpose of counteracting the effects of light attenuation within the filter device by providing an adjustable optical gain to the incident light signal.

11. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hendrix et al. in view of Miller (U.S. Patent No. 5238738).

Hendrix et al. discloses the invention as set forth above in Claim 1, except for the optically transparent layers and the partially reflective layers being bonded by an adhesive having the same refractive index as said optically transparent layers. However, such index matching adhesives are well known and conventionally used in multilayer thin film stacks. For example, Miller teaches a conventional multilayer thin film filter stack (See for example Abstract; Figures 1-2), wherein the various transparent spacers (See for example 12, 16 in Figure 1; 28, 30, 32, 34 in Figure 2) and partially reflective layers (See for example 14, 13, 15 in Figure 1; 35-37, 38, 39, 41, 40, 43, 45 in Figure 2) are all adhered together using an index matching optical cement (See for example col. 3, lines 43-54; col. 4, lines 37-62). Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the optically transparent layers and the partially reflective layers be bonded by an adhesive having the same refractive index as said optically transparent layers, as taught by Miller, in the filter of Hendrix et al., for the purpose of minimizing unwanted back reflections which may produce unwanted ripples in the output transmission and/or reflection spectrum of the filter.

Art Unit: 2872

Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Arnel C. Lavarias whose telephone number is 571-272-

2315. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:00 AM - 6:30 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Stephone B. Allen can be reached on 571-272-2434. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO

Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call

800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Arnel C. Lavarias Primary Examiner Group Art Unit 2872 5/6/08

> /Arnel C. Lavarias/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2872